



GenSys - A Scalable Fixed-Point Engine for Maximal Controller Synthesis over Infinite State Logical Games

Stanly Samuel¹, Deepak D'Souza¹, Raghavan Komondoor¹

Accepted at ESEC/FSE 2021: Demonstrations Track

¹Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Background: Synthesis

Church's problem

• Stated by Alonzo Church in 1957:

Given a requirement which a circuit is to satisfy, we may suppose the requirement expressed in some suitable logistic system which is an extension of restricted recursive arithmetic. The synthesis problem is then to find recursion equivalences representing a circuit that satisfies the given requirement (or alternatively, to determine that there is no such circuit)

- The requirement taken as transformation from one infinite bit string to another
 - Transforming every α to β such that $\phi(\alpha,\beta)$ holds
 - Transformations expected to be nonaticipatory and computable with finite memory
 - Finite state automata replaces logical circuits



Alonzo Church

APPLICATION OF RECURSIVE ARITHMETIC TO THE PROBLEM OF CIRCUIT SYNTHESIS

by Alonzo Church

A paper presented at the Summer Institute of Symbolic Logic at Ithaca, N. Y. , in July, 1957 - with revisions made in August , 1957.

Background: Synthesis

1957 to early 2000's:

- Largely of theoretical interest.
- Highly intractable due to the large state space. Complexity can be doubly exponential in nature.
- Undecidable due to infinite state space.

21st century:

- Several tools broach the surface of practicality and show empirically efficient solutions.



Alonzo Church

APPLICATION OF RECURSIVE ARITHMETIC TO THE PROBLEM OF CIRCUIT SYNTHESIS

by Alonzo Church

A paper presented at the Summer Institute of Symbolic Logic at Ithaca, N. Y. , in July, 1957 - with revisions made in August , 1957.







E.g.: A simple bus arbiter





g

Practical Motivation: Reactive Synthesis

- Finite state systems:
 - AMBA Bus Arbiter
 - Cache Coherence Protocols





Practical Motivation: Reactive Synthesis

- Infinite state systems:
 - Robot Motion Planning
 - Minimum Backlog Problem in Wireless Sensor Networks



"HIS PATH-PLANNING MAY BE SUB-OPTIMAL, BUT IT'S GOT FLAIR."



Practical Motivation: Reactive Synthesis

- Infinite state systems:
 - Robot Motion Planning
 - Minimum Backlog Problem in Wireless Sensor Networks



"HIS PATH-PLANNING MAY BE SUB-OPTIMAL, BUT IT'S GOT FLAIR."

Minimum Backlog Problem in Wireless Sensor Networks





Abstraction

GenSys - Synthesize a maximal controller that adheres to a given temporal specification over a system with an infinite state space.







Controller (Con(s,s')



Controller Environment Con(s,s') Env(s,s') Game State space: s Safe region: G(s) S"" Play of the game:

Controller Con(s,s')

Environment Env(s,s') Game State space: s Safe region: G(s)

Play of the game:

Winning Condition: Safety

Synthesize

Winning region W(s). 1.

2. Strategy for Controller

Cinderella-Stepmother Game (Abstraction of the Minimum Backlog Problem)







State space: *s* = {*b*1, *b*2, *b*3, *b*4, *b*5}









State space: *s* = {*b*1, *b*2, *b*3, *b*4, *b*5} Safe region: *G*(*s*) = {*b*1 <= *C* and ... *b*5 <= *C*}

Play of the game:



Example: Let bucket overflow limit C be 3. Can Cinderella ALWAYS win against any move of the Environment?



Play of the game:



Example: Let bucket overflow limit C be 3. Can Cinderella ALWAYS win against any move of the Environment?



Play of the game:



Example: Let bucket overflow limit C be 3. Can Cinderella ALWAYS win against any move of the Environment?



Play of the game:



Example: Let bucket overflow limit C be 3. Can Cinderella ALWAYS win against any move of the Environment?



For C =3, {2,2,2,2,2} <u>will not</u> be included in W(s) as there exists a strategy for environment to win!

Play of the game:



Example: Let bucket overflow limit C be 3. Can Cinderella ALWAYS win against any move of the Environment?



For C =3, {0,0,0,0,0} <u>will</u> be included in W(s) as there exists NO strategy for environment to win!

Play of the game:



Example: Let bucket overflow limit C be <2. Can Cinderella ALWAYS win against any move of the Environment?



For C<2, Cinderella can never win! For any starting state!



State space: *s* = {*b*1, *b*2, *b*3, *b*4, *b*5} Safe region: *G*(*s*) = {*b*1 <= *C* and ... *b*5 <= *C*}



State space: *s* = {*b*1, *b*2, *b*3, *b*4, *b*5} Safe region: *G*(*s*) = {*b*1 <= *C* and ... *b*5 <= *C*}

Challenging range: 1.5 < C < 2.0

GenSys: Tool Architecture



Figure 1: GenSys Tool Architecture

GenSys: Cinderella Game Specification



```
1 from gensys. helper import *
2 from gensys. fixpoints import *
3 from z3 import *
5 #1. Environment moves
6 def environment(b1, b2, b3, b1, b2, b3):
7 return And(b1 + b2 + b3) == b1 + b2 + b3 + 1,
      b1 >= b1, b2 >= b2, b3 >= b3)
9 #2. Controller moves
10 def move1(b1, b2, b3, b1_, b2_, b3_):
   return And(b1_{=} = 0, b2_{=} = 0, b3_{=} = b3)
11
12
13 def move2(b1, b2, b3, b1, b2, b3):
      return And (b_2 == 0, b_3 == 0, b_1 == b_1)
14
15
16 def move3(b1, b2, b3, b1, b2, b3):
      return And(b3_{=} = 0, b1_{=} = 0, b2_{=} = b2)
17
18
19 controller moves = [move1, move2, move3]
20
21 #3. Safe set
22 C = sys.argv[1]
23
24 def guarantee (b1, b2, b3):
25
     return And(b1 <= C, b2 <= C, b3 <= C, b1 >= 0, b2
       >= 0, b3 >= 0)
26
27 safety_fixedpoint (controller_moves,
                                         environment.
       guarantee)
```

Figure 1: GenSys Tool Architecture

Figure 2: Cinderella Game Specification in GenSys

GenSys: Core Computation Engine



3.2 Game Formulation

From the given game specification, this module of our tool formulates one step of the game. The formulation is as follows:

$$WP(X) \equiv \exists s'.(Con(s,s') \land G(s') \land \\ \forall s''.(Env(s',s'') \Longrightarrow (G(s'') \land X(s'')))$$

A step consists of a move of the controller followed by a move of the environment. The formula above has the state variable *s* as the free variable. The solution to this formula is the set of states starting from which the controller has a move such that if the environment subsequently makes a move, both moves end in a state that satisfies the given winning condition *G*, and the environment's move ends in a state that is in a given set of states *X*. The formula above resembles the weakest pre-condition computation in programming languages. Note that the controller makes the first move ².

3.3 Fixed-Point Engine

The *winning region* of the game is the solution to the following greatest fixed-point equation:

vX. WP(X)

GenSys: Winning Region



 $\begin{array}{l} 0 \leq b_1, b_2 \leq 3 \ \land \ 0 \leq b_3, b_4, b_5 \leq 2 \ \land \ b_3 + b_5 \leq 3 \ \lor \\ 0 \leq b_2, b_3 \leq 3 \ \land \ 0 \leq b_4, b_5, b_1 \leq 2 \ \land \ b_4 + b_1 \leq 3 \ \lor \\ 0 \leq b_3, b_4 \leq 3 \ \land \ 0 \leq b_5, b_1, b_2 \leq 2 \ \land \ b_5 + b_2 \leq 3 \ \lor \\ 0 \leq b_4, b_5 \leq 3 \ \land \ 0 \leq b_1, b_2, b_3 \leq 2 \ \land \ b_1 + b_3 \leq 3 \ \lor \\ 0 \leq b_5, b_1 \leq 3 \ \land \ 0 \leq b_2, b_3, b_4 \leq 2 \ \land \ b_2 + b_4 \leq 3 \ \lor \\ \end{array}$

Figure 1: GenSys Tool Architecture

GenSys: Extracted Controller



Table 1: Strategy Synthesized by GenSys for the Cindrellagame with bucket size 3

Condition	Move	
$0 \le b_1, b_2 \le 3 \land 0 \le b_3, b_4, b_5 \le 2 \land b_3 + b_5 \le 3$	$b_{1}, b_{2} = 0$	
$0 \le b_2, b_3 \le 3 \land 0 \le b_4, b_5, b_1 \le 2 \land b_4 + b_1 \le 3$	$b_{2}, b_{3} = 0$	
$0 \le b_3, b_4 \le 3 \ \land \ 0 \le b_5, b_1, b_2 \le 2 \ \land \ b_5 + b_2 \le 3$	$b_{3}, b_{4} = 0$	
$0 \le b_4, b_5 \le 3 \land 0 \le b_1, b_2, b_3 \le 2 \land b_1 + b_3 \le 3$	$b_{4}, b_{5} = 0$	
$0 \le b_5, b_1 \le 3 \ \land \ 0 \le b_2, b_3, b_4 \le 2 \ \land \ b_2 + b_4 \le 3$	$b_{5}, b_{1} = 0$	

Figure 1: GenSys Tool Architecture

GenSys: Extracted Strategy



Figure 1: GenSys Tool Architecture

Table 1: Strategy Synthesized by GenSys for the Cindrellagame with bucket size 3

Condition	Move	
$0 \le b_1, b_2 \le 3 \land 0 \le b_3, b_4, b_5 \le 2 \land b_3 + b_5 \le 3$	$b_{1}, b_{2} = 0$	
$0 \le b_2, b_3 \le 3 \land 0 \le b_4, b_5, b_1 \le 2 \land b_4 + b_1 \le 3$	$b_{2}, b_{3} = 0$	
$0 \le b_3, b_4 \le 3 \ \land \ 0 \le b_5, b_1, b_2 \le 2 \ \land \ b_5 + b_2 \le 3$	$b_{3}, b_{4} = 0$	
$0 \le b_4, b_5 \le 3 \land 0 \le b_1, b_2, b_3 \le 2 \land b_1 + b_3 \le 3$	$b_{4}, b_{5} = 0$	
$0 \le b_5, b_1 \le 3 \ \land \ 0 \le b_2, b_3, b_4 \le 2 \ \land \ b_2 + b_4 \le 3$	$b_{5}, b_{1} = 0$	

Theorem: GenSys is guaranteed to synthesize a **sound** and **maximal** controller, if it terminates.

Soundness: Controller can never lose starting from the states in the strategy.

Maximality: No states from where the controller can win upon initiation, is missed.

GenSys: Maximality guarantee

3.2 Game Formulation

From the given game specification, this module of our tool formulates one step of the game. The formulation is as follows:

 $WP(X) \equiv \exists s'.(Con(s,s') \land G(s') \land \\ \forall s''.(Env(s',s'') \Longrightarrow (G(s'') \land X(s''))))$

A step consists of a move of the controller followed by a move of the environment. The formula above has the state variable *s* as the free variable. The solution to this formula is the set of states starting from which the controller has a move such that if the environment subsequently makes a move, both moves end in a state that satisfies the given winning condition *G*, and the environment's move ends in a state that is in a given set of states *X*. The formula above resembles the weakest pre-condition computation in programming languages. Note that the controller makes the first move ².

3.3 Fixed-Point Engine

The *winning region* of the game is the solution to the following greatest fixed-point equation:

vX. WP(X)

8 APPENDIX

8.1 Safety Algorithm

Algorithm 2 computes the greatest solution to the equation in Section 3.2.

Algorithm 1: Safety fixed-point
Input : Game formulation <i>WP</i> , which includes the safe
region G
Output : Winning region <i>X</i> , if algorithm terminates
X := True ;
W := Proj(WP(X));
while $(X \land G) \Rightarrow (W \land G)$ do
X := W;
W := Proj(WP(X))
end
return $(X \wedge G)$;

Experimental Evaluation

Table 2: Running times for the Cinderella game for various values of bucket size C. "-" indicates unavailability of data, while ">xm" denotes a timeout after x minutes. R denotes Realizable and U denotes Unrealizable.

С	Out	SimSynth	ConSynth	JSyn-VG	GenSys	
					Time	Iter
3.0	R	2.2s	12m45s	1m26s	0.6s	3
2.5	R	53.8s	>15m	1m19s	0.7s	3
2.0	R	68.9s	-	1m6s	0.6s	3
1.9(20)	U	-	-	>16m	31.0s	69
1.8	U	>10m	-	>16m	0.6s	5
1.6	U	1.5s	-	>16m	0.4s	4
1.5	U	1.4s	-	14m34s	0.3s	4
1.4	U	0.2s	-	17s	0.2s	3

Experimental Evaluation

Table 3: Results on remaining benchmarks. Times are in seconds. >15m denotes a timeout after 15 minutes. Tool name abbreviations: C for ConSynth, J for JSyn-VG, D for DT-Synth, S for SAT-Synth, R for RPI-Synth, G for GenSys.

Benchmark	С	J	D	S	R	G
Repair-Lock	2.5	1.5	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.3
Box	3.7	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3
Box Limited	0.4	1.7	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.2
Diagonal	1.9	4.0	2.4	1 .34	0.5	0.2
Evasion	1.5	0.5	0.2	81	0.1	0.7
Follow	>15m	1.2	0.3	88.9	>15m	0.7
Solitary Box	0.4	0.9	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3
Square 5x5	>15m	6.5	2.5	0.6	0.2	0.3





Includes extension for <u>Linear Temporal Logic</u> or <u>Universal Co-Buchi Automaton</u> specifications. **E.g. "buckets do not overflow infinitely often**"







inherent dependency on Z3's projection operator.

Why do we care about **Maximality?**



The Framework of Neural Network Shielding.

Use Case 1: As a run-time shield for Neural Network based controllers that may be efficient but not sound.

Use Case 2: For verification of controllers designed by humans, by checking for containment.

Use Case 3: In the case of multiple controllers where there exists a supervisory controller that decides which controller's "advice" to take. Maximality allows the **most permissive controller** allowing room for more behaviours

Summary: GenSys - A Scalable Fixed-Point Engine for Maximal Controller Synthesis over Infinite State Spaces

- System Model: Logical constraints
- **Specifications:** Safety, ω-regular
- State Space: Infinite
- No external templates required, unlike ConSynth.
- Elegant fixed-point procedure
- No dedicated solver required, unlike SimSynth, JSyn-VG and ConSynth
- Scalable, unlike other tools [Safety].
- Controller size is smaller as compared to other tools [Safety].
- **Future Work:** Scalability for ω-regular specs, Specification Language.
- RQ) Games over uncountable graphs?
- GenSys tool link: <u>https://github.com/stanlysamuel/gensys.git</u>

