

Knowledge transfer and information leakage in protocols

Abdullah Abdul Khadir, Madhavan Mukund, **S P Suresh**
Chennai Mathematical Institute
{abdullah,madhavan,**spsuresh**}@cmi.ac.in

Formal Methods Update Meeting
IIT Mandi
July 18, 2017

Information exchange in protocols

- **Protocols**

Information exchange in protocols

- **Protocols**
 - Structured conversation to effect information exchange

Information exchange in protocols

- **Protocols**
 - Structured conversation to effect information exchange
 - **Informative:** Transmit relevant information to trusted partner

Information exchange in protocols

- **Protocols**
 - Structured conversation to effect information exchange
 - **Informative:** Transmit relevant information to trusted partner
 - **Safe:** Do not leak confidential data to eavesdropper(s)

Information exchange in protocols

- **Protocols**
 - Structured conversation to effect information exchange
 - **Informative:** Transmit relevant information to trusted partner
 - **Safe:** Do not leak confidential data to eavesdropper(s)
- Full safety not always possible. e.g. rejecting a password

Information exchange in protocols

- **Protocols**
 - Structured conversation to effect information exchange
 - **Informative:** Transmit relevant information to trusted partner
 - **Safe:** Do not leak confidential data to eavesdropper(s)
- Full safety not always possible. e.g. rejecting a password
- Quantify information leakage

Studying information leakage

- **Qualitative:** **Non-interference** and allied notions / refinements

Studying information leakage

- **Qualitative:** **Non-interference** and allied notions / refinements
 - **Low outputs** not affected by **high inputs**

Studying information leakage

- **Qualitative:** **Non-interference** and allied notions / refinements
 - **Low outputs** not affected by **high inputs**
- **Quantitative:** Measure information leakage based on entropy

Our approach

- Discrete measurement of information leakage

Our approach

- Discrete measurement of information leakage
- Information consists of propositional facts

Our approach

- Discrete measurement of information leakage
- Information consists of propositional facts
- Represents knowledge to be shared among agents

Our approach

- Discrete measurement of information leakage
- Information consists of propositional facts
- Represents knowledge to be shared among agents
- Eavesdropper has no knowledge initially

Our approach

- Discrete measurement of information leakage
- Information consists of propositional facts
- Represents knowledge to be shared among agents
- Eavesdropper has no knowledge initially
- As messages are exchanged, agents learn more facts

Our approach

- Discrete measurement of information leakage
- Information consists of propositional facts
- Represents knowledge to be shared among agents
- Eavesdropper has no knowledge initially
- As messages are exchanged, agents learn more facts
- Measure how much eavesdropper knows at the end

Our approach

- Discrete measurement of information leakage
- Information consists of propositional facts
- Represents knowledge to be shared among agents
- Eavesdropper has no knowledge initially
- As messages are exchanged, agents learn more facts
- Measure how much eavesdropper knows at the end
- Check if honest agents know all they ought to know

SADI problems

- There are four agents **A**, **B**, **C** and **D**, with **D** being the eavesdropper

SADI problems

- There are four agents **A**, **B**, **C** and **D**, with **D** being the eavesdropper
- The deal

A **0, 1**
B **2, 3, 4**
C **5, 6, 7, 8**

SADI problems

- There are four agents **A**, **B**, **C** and **D**, with **D** being the eavesdropper
- The deal

A 0, 1
B 2, 3, 4
C 5, 6, 7, 8

- Find a sequence of (truthful) announcements that help them learn the whole deal, while **D** does not know the whole deal

Informative and safe sequences

- A one-round protocol

A My hand is **01** or **08** or **18**

B Pass

C My hand is **0234** or **1237** or **5678**

Informative and safe sequences

- A one-round protocol

A My hand is **01** or **08** or **18**

B Pass

C My hand is **0234** or **1237** or **5678**

- What is known at the end?

Informative and safe sequences

- A one-round protocol

A My hand is **01** or **08** or **18**

B Pass

C My hand is **0234** or **1237** or **5678**

- What is known at the end?
- Can this be promoted to a protocol?

Informative and safe sequences

- A one-round protocol

A My hand is **01** or **08** or **18**

B Pass

C My hand is **0234** or **1237** or **5678**

- What is known at the end?
- Can this be promoted to a protocol?
- **Yes!**

Another announcement sequence

- Another sequence

A My hand is **01** or **12** or **23**

B My hand is **234** or **056** or **178**

C Pass

Another announcement sequence

- Another sequence

A My hand is **01** or **12** or **23**

B My hand is **234** or **056** or **178**

C Pass

- Informative, but is it safe??

Another announcement sequence

- Another sequence

A My hand is **01** or **12** or **23**

B My hand is **234** or **056** or **178**

C Pass

- Informative, but is it safe??
- Other deals compatible with the announcement sequence

Another announcement sequence

- Another sequence

A My hand is **01** or **12** or **23**

B My hand is **234** or **056** or **178**

C Pass

- Informative, but is it safe??
- Other deals compatible with the announcement sequence
 - **(12, 056, 3478)**

Another announcement sequence

- Another sequence

A My hand is **01** or **12** or **23**

B My hand is **234** or **056** or **178**

C Pass

- Informative, but is it safe??
- Other deals compatible with the announcement sequence
 - (12, 056, 3478)
 - (23, 178, 0456)

Another announcement sequence

- Another sequence

A My hand is **01** or **12** or **23**

B My hand is **234** or **056** or **178**

C Pass

- Informative, but is it safe??
- Other deals compatible with the announcement sequence
 - (12, 056, 3478)
 - (23, 178, 0456)
 - (23, 056, 1478)

Another announcement sequence

- Another sequence

A My hand is **01** or **12** or **23**

B My hand is **234** or **056** or **178**

C Pass

- Informative, but is it safe??
- Other deals compatible with the announcement sequence
 - (12, 056, 3478)
 - (23, 178, 0456)
 - (23, 056, 1478)
- This announcement sequence does not work in those cases

Another announcement sequence

- Another sequence

A My hand is **01** or **12** or **23**

B My hand is **234** or **056** or **178**

C Pass

- Informative, but is it safe??
- Other deals compatible with the announcement sequence
 - (12, 056, 3478)
 - (23, 178, 0456)
 - (23, 056, 1478)
- This announcement sequence does not work in those cases
- The deal is leaked!

Protocols

Definition (Protocols)

A **protocol** (for a fixed deal type) is a function π assigning to every deal \mathbf{H} of that type, and every run ρ a non-empty set of actions $\pi(\mathbf{H}, \rho)$ such that:

Protocols

Definition (Protocols)

A **protocol** (for a fixed deal type) is a function π assigning to every deal \mathbf{H} of that type, and every run ρ a non-empty set of actions $\pi(\mathbf{H}, \rho)$ such that:

- $H_p \in \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \pi(\mathbf{H}, \rho)$ (**truthful**)

Protocols

Definition (Protocols)

A **protocol** (for a fixed deal type) is a function π assigning to every deal \mathbf{H} of that type, and every run ρ a non-empty set of actions $\pi(\mathbf{H}, \rho)$ such that:

- $\mathbf{H}_p \in \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \pi(\mathbf{H}, \rho)$ (**truthful**)
- if $\mathbf{H} \sim_p \mathbf{H}'$, then $\pi(\mathbf{H}, \rho) = \pi(\mathbf{H}', \rho)$ (**view-based**)

Informativity of protocols

Definition (Informativity)

A run (\mathbf{H}, ρ) of a protocol π is informative for an agent \mathfrak{p} if there is no execution (\mathbf{H}', ρ) of π with $\mathbf{H} \sim_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbf{H}'$ and $\mathbf{H} \neq \mathbf{H}'$. A protocol π is

Informativity of protocols

Definition (Informativity)

A run (\mathbf{H}, ρ) of a protocol π is informative for an agent p if there is no execution (\mathbf{H}', ρ) of π with $\mathbf{H} \sim_p \mathbf{H}'$ and $\mathbf{H} \neq \mathbf{H}'$. A protocol π is

- **weakly informative (WI)**: if every run of π is informative for some agent.

Informativity of protocols

Definition (Informativity)

A run (\mathbf{H}, ρ) of a protocol π is informative for an agent p if there is no execution (\mathbf{H}', ρ) of π with $\mathbf{H} \sim_p \mathbf{H}'$ and $\mathbf{H} \neq \mathbf{H}'$. A protocol π is

- **weakly informative (WI)**: if every run of π is informative for some agent.
- **informative (I)**: if every run of π is informative for every agent.

Safety of cards

Definition (Safety of cards)

A run (H, ρ) of a protocol π is **safe** for the card c if for every agent p , there is another run (G, ρ) of π such that $c \notin G_p$.

A run (H, ρ) of a protocol π is **strongly safe** for the card c if for every agent p , there are two runs $(F, \rho), (G, \rho)$ of π such that $c \in F_p$ and $c \notin G_p$.

Safety of protocols

Definition (Safety of Protocols)

A protocol π is

Safety of protocols

Definition (Safety of Protocols)

A protocol π is

- **deal safe:** if every run of π is safe for some card c .

Safety of protocols

Definition (Safety of Protocols)

A protocol π is

- **deal safe:** if every run of π is safe for some card c .
- **p -safe (for an agent p):** if every run (H, ρ) of π is safe for all cards in H_p .

Safety of protocols

Definition (Safety of Protocols)

A protocol π is

- **deal safe:** if every run of π is safe for some card c .
- **p -safe (for an agent p):** if every run (H, ρ) of π is safe for all cards in H_p .
- **safe:** if every execution of π is safe for every card c .

Safety of protocols

Definition (Safety of Protocols)

A protocol π is

- **deal safe:** if every run of π is safe for some card c .
- **p -safe (for an agent p):** if every run (H, ρ) of π is safe for all cards in H_p .
- **safe:** if every execution of π is safe for every card c .
- **strongly safe:** if every execution of π is strongly safe for every card c .

Our work (finally!)

- Represent the information state of agents as a set of **valuations**

Our work (finally!)

- Represent the information state of agents as a set of **valuations**
- Valuations for agent p

$$v : \{K_{pq}(c), K_{pNq}(c) \mid b \text{ an agent, } q \neq p, c \text{ a card}\} \rightarrow \{\top, \perp\}$$

Our work (finally!)

- Represent the information state of agents as a set of **valuations**
- Valuations for agent p

$$\nu : \{K_{pq}(c), K_{pNq}(c) \mid b \text{ an agent, } q \neq p, c \text{ a card}\} \rightarrow \{\top, \perp\}$$

- $\nu(K_{pq}(c)) = \top$ for all ν in a 's state means a knows that b has card c

Our work (finally!)

- Represent the information state of agents as a set of **valuations**
- Valuations for agent p

$$\nu : \{K_{pq}(c), K_{pNq}(c) \mid b \text{ an agent, } q \neq p, c \text{ a card}\} \rightarrow \{\top, \perp\}$$

- $\nu(K_{pq}(c)) = \top$ for all ν in a 's state means a knows that b has card c
- $\nu(K_{pNq}(c)) = \top$ for all ν in a 's state means a knows that b does not have card c

Our work (finally!)

- Represent the information state of agents as a set of **valuations**
- Valuations for agent p

$$\nu : \{K_{pq}(c), K_{pNq}(c) \mid b \text{ an agent, } q \neq p, c \text{ a card}\} \rightarrow \{\top, \perp\}$$

- $\nu(K_{pq}(c)) = \top$ for all ν in a 's state means a knows that b has card c
- $\nu(K_{pNq}(c)) = \top$ for all ν in a 's state means a knows that b does not have card c
- It is possible that $\nu(K_{pq}(c)) = \perp$ and $\nu(K_{pNq}(c)) = \perp$ for some ν

Our work (finally!)

- Represent the information state of agents as a set of **valuations**
- Valuations for agent p

$$\nu : \{K_{pq}(c), K_{pNq}(c) \mid b \text{ an agent, } q \neq p, c \text{ a card}\} \rightarrow \{\top, \perp\}$$

- $\nu(K_{pq}(c)) = \top$ for all ν in a 's state means a knows that b has card c
- $\nu(K_{pNq}(c)) = \top$ for all ν in a 's state means a knows that b does not have card c
- It is possible that $\nu(K_{pq}(c)) = \perp$ and $\nu(K_{pNq}(c)) = \perp$ for some ν
- Natural constraints on valuations. For example

$$\forall q, c : \text{either } \nu \neq K_{pq}(c) \text{ or } \nu \neq K_{pNq}(c)$$

Measuring knowledge for runs

- Initial formula representing constraints on valuations

Measuring knowledge for runs

- Initial formula representing constraints on valuations
- Each announcement is a DNF formula

Measuring knowledge for runs

- Initial formula representing constraints on valuations
- Each announcement is a DNF formula
- Announcement sequence is a conjunction of these

Measuring knowledge for runs

- Initial formula representing constraints on valuations
- Each announcement is a DNF formula
- Announcement sequence is a conjunction of these
- Use a SAT solver (Z_3) to compute all hands compatible with this formula φ

Measuring knowledge for runs

- Initial formula representing constraints on valuations
- Each announcement is a DNF formula
- Announcement sequence is a conjunction of these
- Use a SAT solver (Z_3) to compute all hands compatible with this formula φ
- Collect statistics on this final state

Measuring knowledge for runs

- Initial formula representing constraints on valuations
- Each announcement is a DNF formula
- Announcement sequence is a conjunction of these
- Use a SAT solver (Z_3) to compute all hands compatible with this formula φ
- Collect statistics on this final state
- E.g. if $\neg K_{pq}(c) \wedge \varphi$ is unsat, it means that p knows that q has c

Measuring knowledge for runs

- Initial formula representing constraints on valuations
- Each announcement is a DNF formula
- Announcement sequence is a conjunction of these
- Use a SAT solver (Z_3) to compute all hands compatible with this formula φ
- Collect statistics on this final state
- E.g. if $\neg K_{pq}(c) \wedge \varphi$ is unsat, it means that p knows that q has c
- Use this to search for informative and safe runs

Measuring knowledge for runs

- Initial formula representing constraints on valuations
- Each announcement is a DNF formula
- Announcement sequence is a conjunction of these
- Use a SAT solver (Z_3) to compute all hands compatible with this formula φ
- Collect statistics on this final state
- E.g. if $\neg K_{pq}(c) \wedge \varphi$ is unsat, it means that p knows that q has c
- Use this to search for informative and safe runs
- Coming up with a protocol - harder problem

Questions?

Thank you!